# (Cook's Theorem):

- Theorem 9.1: SAT is NP-Complete.

## Proof:

## 1. Proof that SAT $\in$ NPC:

**Note:** This proof was done in lecture 8. The certificate in this case is a truth assignment that makes the formula true. The verifier evaluates the formula given the certificate.

# 2. Proof that $\forall L \in NP, L \leq_P SAT$ :

This part is tough because there is an infinite number of languages in NP. We can't prove each language  $\leq_P SAT$ .

Instead, we need to find something that all these languages have in common to help prove the reduction.

We will call this item that all the languages have in common "a handle". For every language, L, in NP, the handle will be a NTM,  $M_L$ , that accepts L. Since the languages are in NP, we know that there exists a NTM that decides it in polynomial time.

Given  $M_{L}$  and an input string x, where  $x \in \Sigma^*$ , we will construct, in polytime, a function  $F_x$  s.t.  $x \in L$  iff  $F_x$  is satisfiable.

We can rephrase the above statement like this:  $F_x$  is satisfiable means that there exists a truth assignment  $\tau$  that satisfies  $F_x$  iff  $M_L$  on x has an accepting computation.

Let p(n) be a polynomial bound on the running time of  $M_L$ , where n = |x|. This means that every computation of  $M_L$  on x takes at most p(n) steps, where n = |x|.

 $M_L$  on x has an accepting configuration means that there exists a sequence of configurations C0-C1-...+CI where C0 is the initial configuration, C0 = Q0x, and CI is an accepting configuration.

WLOG assume that  $p(n) \ge n$ . (This means that the number of steps for the accepting computation is at least as long as the length of the input.)

If p(n) < n, then we can just add useless steps to get  $p(n) \ge n$ .

If it was polynomial before, it's still polynomial.

The tape on each  $C_t$  has at most p(n) symbols before the infinite number of blank symbols.

Instead of thinking  $C0 \vdash C1 \vdash ... \vdash CI$  as configurations, we will think of it as a table. There will be p(n)+1 rows, one row for each  $C_t$ .

If I < p(n), I will still force the table to have p(n) + 1 rows. I will copy the last configuration to fill the remaining rows.

Furthermore, there will be p(n)+2 columns. These columns will be used to represent the elements that are part of the configuration.

We will put the state in the first column.

We will put a number that represents the position of the head in the second column.

We will put the p(n) symbols in the remaining p(n) columns, with one symbol per column.





The i<sup>th</sup> row in the table is just another way to represent C<sub>i</sub>. We are changing the representation to make it more uniform.

 $ML = (Q, \Sigma, \Gamma, \delta, Q0, QA, QR)$ 

 $\forall q \in Q$  and  $\forall t \in [0...p(n)]$ , I will have a variable  $S_t^q$ , s.t.  $S_t^q = 1$  iff at time t, the  $M_t$  is in state q.  $S_t^q = 0$  otherwise.

∀ cell i∈[1...p(n)] and ∀ a∈Γ and ∀ t∈[0...p(n)], I will have a variable  $C_t^{ia}$ , s.t.  $C_t^{ia}$  = 1 iff at time t, cell i contains symbol a.  $C_t^{ia}$  = 0 otherwise.

 $\forall$  i  $\in$  [1...p(n)] and  $\forall$  t  $\in$  [0...p(n)], I will have a variable H<sup>i</sup><sub>t</sub>, s.t.

 $H_t^i = 1$  iff at time t, the head of the tape is on cell i.  $H_t^i = 0$  otherwise.

The total number of variables is  $\Theta(p^2(n))$ .

We will use groups of formulas to help create  $F_x$ .

Group 1 (Coherence): At any time t, I cannot have:

- a.  $M_L$  be in 2 different states.
- b.  $M_{L}^{-}$ 's head cannot be in 2 different cells.
- c. Each cell cannot have 2 symbols.

Group 2 (Start Well): At time 0, M<sub>1</sub> starts correctly.

**Group 3 (End Well):** At time p(n),  $M_1$  is in the accept state.

Group 4 (Move Well): In each step from t to t + 1:

- a. Only the symbol under the head can change.
- b. The state, head position, tape contents change as per  $\delta$ .

### For Coherence:

- a.  $\neg(S_t^q \land S_t^p) \forall p \neq q \in Q, \forall t \in [0...p(n)]$ **Note:** We can express the above as:  $\neg S_t^q \lor \neg S_t^p$
- b.  $\neg(H_t^i \land H_t^{i'}) \forall i \neq i' \in [1...p(n) + 1], \forall t \in [0...p(n)]$ **Note:** We can express the above as:  $\neg H_t^i \lor \neg H_t^i$
- c.  $\neg(C_t^{ia} \land C_t^{ib}) \forall a \neq b \in \Gamma, \forall t \in [0...p(n)]$ Note: We can express the above as:  $\neg C_t^{\,ia} \lor \neg C_t^{\,ib}$

#### For Start Well:

M<sub>1</sub> starts correctly means that at time 0,

- a. The state is Q0.  $(S_0^{Q0})$
- b. The head is in cell 1.  $(H_0^{-1})$
- c. The tape is unchanged.  $(C_0^{iai} \forall i \in [1...n]) \leftarrow$  This means at time 0, cell i contains  $a_i$  for all i in 1 to

 $(C_0^i \forall i \in [n+1...p(n)]) \leftarrow$  This means at time 0, cell i contains a blank symbol for all i in n+1 to p(n).

#### For End Well:

We can represent "At time p(n),  $M_1$  is in the accept state." with  $S_{n(n)}^{QA}$ .

#### For Move Well:

- a.  $(C_t^{ia} \land \neg C_{t+1}^{ia}) \rightarrow H_t^i \forall i \in [1...p(n) + 1], \forall a \in \Gamma, \forall t \in [0...p(n)]$
- Note: We can express the above as:  $\neg C_t^{ia} \lor C_{t+1}^{ia} \lor H_t^i$ . b.  $(S_t^q \land H_t^i \land C_t^{ia}) \rightarrow \lor (S_{t+1}^{p} \land H_{t+1}^{i+d} \land C_{t+1}^{ib}) (p, b, R) \in \delta(q, a)$ Note: We can express the above as:  $\neg S_{t}^{q} \vee \neg H_{t}^{i} \vee \neg C_{t}^{ia} \vee (\vee (S_{t+1}^{p} \wedge H_{t+1}^{i+d} \wedge C_{t+1}^{ib}) (p, b, R) \in \delta(q, a))$   $d = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } D = R \\ -1 & \text{if } D = L \text{ and } i \neq 1 \end{cases}$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & \text{if } D = L \text{ and } i = 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

I.e.

d = 1 if we move right.

d = -1 if we move to the left and are not in the leftmost cell.

d = 0 if we move to the left and are already in the leftmost cell.

 $\forall p, q \in Q \text{ s.t. } q \neq QA, \forall i \in [1..p(n) + 1], \forall t \in [0...p(n)]$ 

If q is in an accept state, there is no transition outside of an accept state.

If we are in QA, and there's empty rows in the table, we get  $(S_t^{QA} \land H_t^i \land C_t^{ia}) \rightarrow (S_{t+1}^{QA} \land H_{t+1}^i \land C_{t+1}^{ia}) \forall i \in [1..p(n) + 1], \forall t \in [0...p(n)], and \forall a \in \Gamma or equivalently \neg S_t^{QA} \lor \neg H_t^i \lor \neg C_t^{ia}) \lor (S_{t+1}^{QA} \land A_t^{QA}) = (S_t^{QA} \land A_t^{QA})$  $H_{t+1} \wedge C_{t+1}$ 

Basically, we just copy everything from the row where we reach QA to all the empty rows.

Let  $F_x^{1}$ ,  $F_x^{2}$ ,  $F_x^{3}$ ,  $F_x^{4}$  be formulas in groups 1-4.  $F_x = F_x^{1} \land F_x^{2} \land F_x^{3} \land F_x^{4}$ Claim:  $M_L$  accepts x iff  $F_x$  is satisfiable. **Proof:** (=>)

Suppose  $M_L$  accepts x.

Then, there exists a sequence of configurations C0, C1, ..., CI s.t.

- C0 is the initial configuration, C0 = Q0x
- Ct ⊢ Ct+1 ∀ t∈[0..l-1]
- Cl is the accepting configuration, Cl = Qa

- l ≤ p(n).

The contents of the table suggest a truth assignment that satisfies F<sub>x</sub>.

# (<=)

Suppose  $F_{x}$  is satisfiable.

That means that there exists a truth assignment that makes  ${\sf F}_{\sf X}$  true. This truth assignment defines the rows of the table that correspond to an accepting computation.

Each row corresponds to a configuration of  $M_{L}$ . (Group 1)

The first configuration is the initial configuration of  $M_{L}$  on x. (Group 2)

The last configuration is an accepting configuration. (Group 3)

Each configuration follows from a previous by a legal move of  $M_L$ . (Group 4)

The reduction is polytime because the length of  $F_x$  is polynomial w.r.t |x| = nGroup 1's Formula is  $O(p^3(n))$ . Group 2's Formula is O(p(n)).

Group 3's Formula is O(1).

Group 4's Formula is  $O(p^2(n))$ .

Furthermore, each formula has size O(1).

 $|F_x| = O(p^3(n))$  is polynomial w.r.t to |x|.

# Examples of Other NPC Problems:

- We're going to prove that other problems, X, are NPC by showing SAT  $\leq_{D} X$ .

- However, before we do this we need to show that SAT is still NP C even if we restrict some formulas in a syntactic sense.

# - Definition: F is in Conjunctive Normal Form (CNF) iff:

- a. It is a **literal**. A literal is a variable or the negation of a variable. OR
- b. It is a conjunction of **clauses**. A clause is a literal or a **disjunction** of literals.

I.e. CNF is an  $\land$  of  $\lor$ s, where  $\lor$  is over variables or their negations (literals). An  $\lor$  of literals is also called a clause.

E.g. The following formulas are in CNF:

 $\neg X \rightarrow Is a literal$ 

 $\neg X \land \neg Y \rightarrow$  Is a clause because it is a disjunction of 2 literals.

 $\neg x_1 \land (x_1 \lor x_2) \land (\neg x_1 \lor \neg x_3) \rightarrow$  Is a clause because there are disjunctions of literals.

E.g. The following formulas are not in CNF:

 $\neg$ (X V Y) since an OR is nested within a NOT.

- Note: Every formula can be equivalently written as a formula in CNF.

- CNF-SAT:
- Theorem 9.2: CNF-SAT ∈ NPC.

# Proof:

F<sub>x</sub> is almost CNF.

The exception is in group 4.

We have  $I_1 \vee I_2 \vee I_3 \vee (I_{11} \wedge I_{12} \wedge I_{13})) \vee ... \vee (I_{k1} \wedge I_{k2} \wedge I_{k3}))$  where I represent literals.

This is in DNF not CNF.

However, using distributive laws this is logically equivalent to



The size of this formula is  $(3^k)(k+3)$  where  $k \le |Q||\Gamma|2 = O(1)$ . So,  $(3^k)(k+3)$  is still a constant.  $(3^k)(k+3) = O(1)$ . Put  $F_x$  in CNF as above. The resulting formula has size polynomial w.r.t |x|. Therefore, CNF-SAT  $\in$  NPC

Problem (3SAT): CNF-SAT for formulas where each clause has ≤ 3 literals.
Theorem 9.3: 3SAT ∈ NPC

# Proof:

# a. Proof that $3SAT \in NP$ :

3SAT is a specific case of SAT which is in NP. Hence, 3SAT is in NP.

# b. CNF-SAT ≤<sub>p</sub> 3SAT:

Given any CNF formula  $F = C1 \land C2 \land ... \land Ck$  where Ci is a clause, construct in polytime a 3CNF formula  $F' = C'1 \land ... \land C'k$ . F' is satisfiable iff F is satisfiable. C'j is a 3CNF formula. |C'j| = O(|Cj|)If Cj has at most 3 literals, C'j = Cj. If Cj = I1  $\lor$  I2  $\lor$  ...  $\lor$  Im, where m  $\ge$  3, we introduce new variables z1, ..., zm-3. Then, let C'j = (I1  $\lor$  I2  $\lor$  z1)  $\land$  ( $\neg$ z1  $\lor$  I3  $\lor$  z2)  $\land$  ( $\neg$ z2  $\lor$  I4  $\lor$  z3)  $\land$  ...  $\land$ ( $\neg$ zm-3  $\lor$  Im-1  $\lor$  Im). We are using Zi to chain the Ii. C'j is satisfiable iff Cj is satisfiable. Hence, F' is satisfiable iff F is satisfiable.  $|F'| = O(|F|) \rightarrow CNF-SAT \leq_p 3SAT$ 

Fact: 2SAT ∈ P

### - Problem (IS):

Instance:  $\langle G, k \rangle$  where G = (V, E) is an undirected graph and  $k \in Z^*$ . Question: Does G have an independent set V'  $\subseteq$  V such that  $|V'| \ge k$ ?

### Theorem 9.4: IS ∈ NPC

### Proof:

### a. Proof that IS $\in$ NP:

We have done this in lecture 8.

## b. Proof that $3SAT \leq_{P} IS$ :

Given 3CNF formula F, construct (in polynomial time in  $|\langle F \rangle|$ ), an undirected graph G = (V, E) and k  $\in Z^+$  s.t. F is satisfiable iff G has an IS of k nodes. Let F = C1  $\land ... \land Cm$ . Let X1, ..., Xm be variables in F. Cj = ( $I_i^1, I_i^2, I_i^3$ ) where  $I_i^t$  is a literal.

E.g.

Suppose we have the formula  $F = (x \lor y \lor \neg z) \land (\neg x \lor y \lor z) \land (x \lor \neg y \lor u) \land (\neg u \lor \neg y \lor \neg z).$ 

For each clause construct a triangle.



Selecting a node is equivalent to saying that the truth assignment for that literal is true.

E.g. In the first triangle, if we choose  $\neg z$ , we're saying that  $\neg z$  is true, meaning that z is false.

We must restrict not being able to choose opposing literals (x and  $\neg x$ ) by drawing an edge between them.

This is because by choosing x in 1 triangle and  $\neg x$  in another triangle, we're saying that we want x to be true in the first triangle and  $\neg x$  to be true in the second triangle. This will cause a contradiction.

This is shown in the picture below.



k = 4 is the number of clauses we have.

k = m

**Claim:** F is satisfiable iff G has IS of size k = m.

### Proof:

(=>)

Suppose F is satisfiable. Let T be a truth assignment that satisfies F. T makes true some literal, say  $l_j^{tj}$  of every clause Cj  $1 \le j \le m, 1 \le tj \le 3$ . Then  $\{C_1^{t1}, C_2^{t2}, ..., C_m^{tm}\}$  is an IS of G of size m = k. They belong to different triangles and there are no edges between them. If there is an edge between 2 of them, say  $C_i^{ti}$  and  $C_j^{tj}$ , then they are opposite literals of the same variable. Hence, T would make one of them true and the other false. However, we are only choosing the literal that T makes true, so we can't choose both  $C_1^{ti}$  and  $C_j^{tj}$ . Hence, there cannot be any edges between any 2  $(C_x^{tx}, C_y^{ty})$  in  $\{C_1^{t1}, C_2^{t2}, ..., C_m^{tm}\}$ .

(<=) Suppose G has an IS, V', of size m. Because of the triangles, V' has exactly one node from each triangle. Let V' =  $\{C_1^{t1}, C_2^{t2}, ..., C_m^{tm}\}$ . We will define truth assignment T as:

$$\tau(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } \exists_j \text{ such that } \ell_j^{t_j} = x \\ 0, & \text{if } \exists_j \text{ such that } \ell_j^{t_j} = \overline{x} \\ 0/1 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

If  $I_i^{ij} = x$ , then we make x = 1 (x = true).

If  $I_i^{ij} = \neg x$ , then we make x = 0 (x = false), so that  $\neg x$  is true.

This is well-defined, meaning that there are no contradictory rules, that satisfies F because it solves every clause.

Remains to show that construction of  $\langle G,k\rangle$  can be done in polynomial time in size of  $\langle F\rangle.$ 

|V| = 3m $|E| = 3m + 3m(m - 1) = O(m^2)$ . Therefore, the size of  $\langle G, k \rangle$  is polynomial w.r.t  $|\langle F \rangle|$ . Therefore,  $\langle G, k \rangle$  can be constructed from  $\langle F \rangle$  is polynomial. Therefore, 3SAT ≤<sub>P</sub> IS. Therefore, 3SAT  $\leq$  NPC.

# - Problem (CLIQUE):

Instance:  $\langle G, k \rangle$  as in IS.

Question: Does G have a clique of size k?

A **clique** is a subset of nodes, V', s.t. there exists an edge between any 2 pairs of nodes in V'. V'  $\subseteq$  V.

### Theorem 9.5: CLIQUE is NPC.

IS ≤<sub>P</sub> CLIQUE.

Given G = (V, E), construct  $\neg$ G = (V,  $\neg$ E).  $\neg$ G is the complement of G.

 $\neg$ G has an edge between 2 nodes iff G does not have an edge between those 2 nodes. Therefore G has an independent set iff  $\neg$ G has a clique.

# - Problem Vertex Cover (VC):

Instance:  $\langle G, k \rangle$  as before.

Question: Does G have a vertex cover of size k? A vertex cover is a set of nodes (V'  $\subseteq$  V) s.t. every edge has at least one endpoint in V'.

### **Theorem 9.6:** $VC \in NPC$

G = (V, E) has an IS of size K iff G = (V, E) has a VC of size n - k, where n = |V|. If something is an IS, its complement must be a VC.